Rockwall Independent School District Rockwall-Heath High School 2023-2024 Improvement Plan



Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	3
Needs Assessment Overview	3
Demographics	3
Priority Problem Statements	4
Goals	5
Goal 1: We will improve student achievement. Students will demonstrate a minimum of one year of academic growth as measured by external measures (Rockwall ISD CCA, STAAR, AP, IB, and Advanced Academic Coursework).	6
Goal 2: We will engage with students, parents, and the community to foster a collaborative and respectful culture.	16
Goal 3: We will promote a safe and civil environment for students, staff, and the community.	19
Goal 4: We will ensure compliance with the local wellness policy through implementation of the Rockwall ISD Wellness Plan with an emphasis on student and staff well-	22
being.	
Addendums	24

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Needs Assessment Overview

Needs Assessment Overview Summary

2023-2024 Campus Needs Assessment

Heath has a tradition of excellence. We work to inspire, empower, and equip our students to achieve excellence. Our motto is "Love the Kid, Drive the Rigor." During this year we will have three areas of focus: Academic Excellence, Safety & Civility, and a Culture of Collaboration and Community. We are committed to maintaining a positive campus culture by celebrating success, and by providing ongoing leadership opportunities for students and staff. We are committed to systematic communication that provides multiple opportunities for our stakeholders to participate as partners.

At this time, our campus has the following needs:

Continue to focus on maximizing student achievement by developing Teacher Collective Efficacy through a structured PLC process and frequent, timely feedback of instructional practices.

Ensure all students are College, Career, and/or Military Ready before graduation.

Increase minority participation in advanced coursework including AP, Dual Credit and IB programs.

Increase successful college credit attainment through AP, Dual Credit and the IB Diploma Programme.

Increase quantity and quality of support for the growing population of EB and Special Programs (Special Education and 504) students.

Increase performance in all EOC tested areas by focusing on the Fundamental 5*.

*The Fundamental 5: The Formula for Quality Instruction, a book by Sean Cain and Mike Laird, describes five critical practices, based on research, that result in highly effective teaching. The five fundamental practices include: framing the lesson, working in the power zone, frequent small group purposeful talk, recognize and reinforce, plus writing critically.

Demographics

Demographics Summary

See the addendum for the most recent TAPR reports, demographic and STAAR performance reports, which include detailed information about our campus.

We are waiting for 2022-2023 accountability results to be released.

Priority Problem Statements

Goals

Goal 1: We will improve student achievement. Students will demonstrate a minimum of one year of academic growth as measured by external measures (Rockwall ISD CCA, STAAR, AP, IB, and Advanced Academic Coursework).

Performance Objective 1: The Campus will improve the Extended Construct Response Score by an average of 1.5 points (10 pt scale).

Evaluation Data Sources: Interim assessment scores, Campus Common Assessment (CCA) results, EOC results

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: The campus will designate, communicate, emphasize, and provide feedback on the implementation of the	Formative			Summative
Fundamental Five with an emphasis on critical writing as a closure activity. Feedback will be shared through campus snapshots, emails, and results of staff surveys.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Writing scores will improve.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Principal, Associate over C&I				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 2: Review of Writing Tasks in PLC once per grading term with teachers bringing samples of student work.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Writing scores will improve.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: PLC Captains, Campus Administrators				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 3: The Admin Team will review the results of Campus Data Walks, and follow up with Department Coaches, PLC		Formative		Summative
Captains, and Individual Teachers	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Writing scores will improve.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Associate Principal C& I, Campus Administrators				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2: The Campus will increase performance on STAAR EOC performance in Biology, Algebra 1, and US History by 5% at each performance level (Approaches, Meets, and Masters).

Our overall Campus EOC "Approaches" performance* will increase by 5%

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: TEA Interim assessment scores, Campus common assessment (CCA) results, EOC results

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews						
tegy 1: The campus will designate, communicate, emphasize, and provide feedback on the implementation of the		Formative			Formative		
Fundamental Five.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Utilization of the fundamental five will become the norm in instructional practice. Students will experience consistency in all classrooms, and student achievement will increase.							
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Principal, Campus Administrators, Campus Instructional Coaches							
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views				
Strategy 2 Details Strategy 2: Students will track their own success to promote progress towards mastery.		Rev Formative	views	Summative			
Strategy 2: Students will track their own success to promote progress towards mastery. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student outcomes will improve.	Dec		Apr	Summative June			
Strategy 2: Students will track their own success to promote progress towards mastery.	Dec	Formative					

Performance Objective 3: Every student will show at least one year of academic growth in the areas of ELAR and Mathematics, our English Language Learners (EBs) improve by at least one level on TELPAS, and we will improve the EOC performance of Special Education, EL, and Economically Disadvantaged (EcoDis) students in all tested areas by 5%.

Evaluation Data Sources: Campus Common Assessment (CCA), TEA interim assessments, TELPAS, and EOC results

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews													
Strategy 1: RHHS will work with team leads to tighten the structure for PLC conversations through the District PLC	Formative						Summative								
Academy sponsored by Solution Tree. Administrators will work with EOC PLC teams to utilize available Lead4Ward tools and create and monitor common formative assessments in Aware.	Dec	Dec Feb Apr													
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A decrease in the number of course failures and an increase in EOC success relative to 2023 levels.															
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Associate Principal C&I															
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews													
Strategy 2: RHHS will provide after school accelerated instruction and transportation for students in need of passing an		Formative		Summative											
COC and/or support in their current classwork.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June											
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: An increase in the number of students successful on December EOC retests and coursework.			r												
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Associate Principal for Curriculum, MTSS Administrators, EOC Intervention Coordinator															
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views												
Strategy 3: RHHS will provide Tier 1 intervention opportunities within the classroom by focusing on the use of anchor					Formative		Formative		Formative		Formative		Formative		Summative
charts, common formative assessments, and bell-to-bell instruction.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June											

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Tighten the PLC Process Campus-Wide	Formative			Summative
Establish PLC norms. Write PLC smart goals. Develop weekly PLC agendas. Take PLC minutes. Respond to four critical questions of a PLC. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved student achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Leadership Team and Department Coaches	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 5: To increase ELAR scores, students will be rewarded for participating in self-selected, self-guided reading.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: We will create a culture in which reading becomes the norm for all students at RHHS. Percentage of students who "get caught reading" will increase annually. The 2021-2022 school year is a baseline for this literacy program.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: RHHS Library Media Specialist				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Performance Objective 4: RHHS Counselors will ensure each student is enrolled in coursework that supports the opportunity to earn a CCMR indicator and the campus CCMR percentage will increase. 88% is the target.

Evaluation Data Sources: AP, TSI, SAT, CCMR records in Skyward

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Students will be incentivized to earn a CCMR with a Rockwall ISD recognized graduation cord.		Formative			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Associate Principals	Dec	Dec Feb Apr		June	
Stuatogy 2 Dataila		Por			
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: The method by which a CCMR indicator will be earned, will be reflected in a student's four-year graduation		Formative		Summative	
plan.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be more committed to their coursework.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Lead Counselor, Freshman Campus Principal					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 3: The counseling office will conduct a campus-wide audit of rising juniors and seniors in 23-24 and enroll		Formative		Summative	
students, with Algebra 2 credit, in a College Bridge ELA and/or Math class.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More students will be college-ready.		1			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Associate Principal C&I, Counselors					
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 4: Students enrolled in English 3, will take the TSIA mid-year, and all who do not meet standard will be enrolled		Formative		Summative	
in Texas College Bridge English 4.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More students will be college ready upon graduation.		100	1101	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Lead Counselor, Campus Administrator over English department, Associate Principal C&I					

Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5: Students enrolled in Algebra 2, will take the TSIA as a summative assessment. All who do not meet standard		Formative		
will be enrolled in Texas College Bridge Advanced Algebra.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More students will be college ready in mathematics upon graduation.			-	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Lead Counselor, Campus Administrator over Math department, Associate				
Principal C&I				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 5: AP performance and participation will increase by at least 5% so that over 77% of our AP students earn a passing score on each exam they take and more students enroll in AP.

Evaluation Data Sources: AP Score reports, Skyward enrollment reports

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews						
Strategy 1: RHHS Counselors will provide additional opportunities for students to pursue Advanced Academics by		Formative		Summative			
utilizing AP Potential reports; providing information about International Baccalaureate offerings; increasing Dual Credit participation through Collin College, Eastfield College and the Gene Burton College & Career Academy; and supporting our students and teachers with information and proper placement in dual enrollment OnRamps coursework through the University of Texas Austin.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June			
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews						
Strategy 2: Counselors will develop four-year plans with entering freshmen.	Formative			Formative			Summative
	Dec	Feb	Apr	June			
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews				
rategy 3: IB Coordinator will create and maintain an IB interest list for incoming freshmen, and actively recruit		Formative		Summative			
Sophomores through student outreach.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in IB participation.							
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	itinue					

Performance Objective 6: RHHS Counselors will increase participation of Black and Hispanic students in advanced academic courses such as Honors, IB, AP, Dual Credit and Dual Enrollment (OnRamps) by 10%.

Evaluation Data Sources: Rosters, College Board reports

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: RHHS Counselors will review mastery in on-level and honors courses to ensure more students enroll in		Summative		
advanced academic coursework.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: An increase in the number of students enrolling in advanced coursework.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Lead Counselor, Associate Principal over Curriculum & Instruction.				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	•
Strategy 2: Campus will utilize the AP Potential report to identify and communicate with students who could benefit from		Formative		Summative
enrollment in Advanced Academics coursework.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: An increase in the number of students enrolling in advanced coursework.			-	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Lead Counselor, Associate Principal over Curriculum & Instruction.				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Performance Objective 7: We will maintain an overall failure rate of less than 10% campus-wide.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Grade reporting by department

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
rategy 1: RHHS staff will provide students timely and meaningful feedback on progress within a course in order to		Formative			
reduce reliance on credit recovery.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Lower failure rate; lower number of credits earned through credit recovery.			_		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Department Coaches, PLC leads					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: We will commit to a campus-wide tutoring schedule and run late buses every Tuesday and Thursday, when	Formative			Summative	
school is in session to support after-school tutoring opportunities. Mandatory tutorials will be utilized.	Dec	Dec Feb Apr		June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A decrease in course failures.			1		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Principal over Transportation, Campus intervention lead.					
Strategy 3 Details					
Strategy 3: PLCs will utilize common formative assessments and adjust instruction to prevent summative course failure.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A decrease in course failure.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Principals, Department coaches.			-		
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	1		

Performance Objective 8: The number of students with grades below 70 each semester will decrease by 10% per year.

Evaluation Data Sources: Skyward grade reports

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: RHHS Counselors will monitor grades and partner with RHHS Admin to provide appropriate interventions and		Formative			
support for students with grades below 70. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Course failure will decrease. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Lead Counselor, Associate Principal over C&I	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			•	
Strategy 2: PLCs will answer PLC question 3 on a consistent basis: How do we respond when students don't learn?		Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Course failure will decrease. Test scores will increase.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: PLC Leads					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	•	
Strategy 3: Students attending morning and afternoon tutoring will be scanned in using positive attendance. Each subject		Formative		Summative	
level will use a unique attendance reason code to track student tutoring performance.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers are easily able to track student tutoring attendance, and parents receive the tutoring attendance communication. Overall failure rate improves by 2%.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Associate Principal for Student Services, Assistant Principal over Attendance, Secretary over Attendance.					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	tinue		•	

Performance Objective 9: All freshmen will earn 5 or more credits

Evaluation Data Sources: Freshmen grades, % of credits earned

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Freshmen Academy curriculum will be developed and implemented.	Formative 5			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Freshmen will be supported during their transition year.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Freshmen Campus Principal				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: The campus will host the Freshmen Parent Academy.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More freshmen will achieve success.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Freshman Campus Principal				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 2: We will engage with students, parents, and the community to foster a collaborative and respectful culture.

Performance Objective 1: The campus will use proactive, informative, and concise communication with teachers, families, and the community.

Evaluation Data Sources: The number of questions sent through anonymous tip line, social media, or emails to campus administrators.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: The campus will send out a biweekly Smore newsletter that provides key information for parents as well as		Formative		Summative
recognize student and faculty achievements.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduction in the number of questions sent through anonymous tip line, social media, or emails to Campus administrators.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Principals				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
trategy 2: We will encourage two-way communication with our community using a variety of means including: Phone	Formative			Summative
Calls, Email, Blackboard, Rockwall Safe, Skyward Announcements, and the anonymous tip line.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduction in the number of questions sent through anonymous tip lines, social media, or emails to Campus administrators.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Associate Principals over Student Services, Curriculum & Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		1

Goal 2: We will engage with students, parents, and the community to foster a collaborative and respectful culture.

Performance Objective 2: The campus will continue to support the development of administrators, teachers, and staff with a focus on individualized continuous improvement.

Evaluation Data Sources: Staff retention data

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: PLC's will ensure the RISD District Curriculum is implemented in all courses by responding to data walk		Formative		
feedback. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A stronger vertical team. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: RHHS Admin, Department Coaches, Team Leads	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 2: The campus will identify and develop the future leaders for Heath High School through our Leadership		Formative		Summative
Development Program focused on instruction, communication, and service. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Development of next generation of PLC Captains, Dept. Coaches, and Heath Administrators. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Principals	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 3: The campus will prioritize structured PLC meetings to ensure focus on continuously improving instructional		Formative		Summative
objectives. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased alignment to Rockwall ISD Scope and Sequence, PLC conversations focused on data and instructional innovation. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, PLC leads, District Coordinators		Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 4: The campus will encourage positive, supportive and constructive feedback through peer observations, campus		Formative		Summative
ta walks and walkthroughs. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased number of data walks as reported weekly by Associate for C&I. Greater integration of the Fundamental 5. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Associate C&I	Dec	Feb	Apr	June

Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 5: The campus will clarify the instructional, communication, support, and service expectations for Campus		Formative		Summative
Leadership positions to ensure vertical alignment of campus goals through departments, PLCs, to the individual classroom.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Greater focus on instruction in the Campus Leadership Meetings; Clarity in roles and greater redundancy.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Principals				
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 6: The campus leadership meetings will prioritize two-way communication focused on improving classroom	Formative			Summative
instruction. Agendas will be open to all members for input.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: better alignment to campus instructional goals, particularly the Fundamental Five				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Principals				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Goal 3: We will promote a safe and civil environment for students, staff, and the community.

Performance Objective 1: The campus will prioritize the physical safety of students and staff to ensure a safe and productive learning environment.

Evaluation Data Sources: Gaggle alerts, anonymous tip lines, Raptor logs

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews			
Strategy 1: All staff will be trained on the Standard Response Protocol to ensure adequate response to an emergency		Formative		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Quicker response times during safety drills. Evacuation in < 4 minutes. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Principal over Safety & Security, Associate Principal for Student Services.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June		
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews			
Strategy 2: We will use safety guards effectively (checking doors, building relationships, actively monitoring all areas,	Formative			Summative		
egulating entry, directing parking lot, identification of students/staff/subs/visitors, assist in implementation of SRP, and nonitoring of eHallpass to ensure staff, visitor and student safety at all times. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A reduction in the number of incidents	Dec	Feb	Apr	June		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A reduction in the number of incidents. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Principal over Safety.						
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	<u>'</u>		
Strategy 3: We will provide MERT, Stop the Bleed, CPI and Vector Training.		Formative		Summative		
We will ensure there is proper signage (Sex trafficking, 1st amendment, child abuse, and gun law) in our building. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A quicker response time, and reduction in the chance of harm to students and staff. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Principal over Safety, Associate Principal over Student Services	Dec	Feb	Apr	June		
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews					
Strategy 4: RHHS Campus Principals will discuss safety concerns with Student Resource Officers (SROs) and review	Formative Sun			Summative		
threats. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A safer school environment Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Principals	Dec	Feb	Apr	June		

Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 5: RHHS Campus Administration will be trained to monitor and respond to the anonymous tip line, 911 calls, and		Summative		
Gaggle alerts. Strategy's Expected Posult/Impacts A safer school environment	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A safer school environment Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Principal				
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	iews	
trategy 6: The campus will continue to universally use E-hall pass to minimize the number of students outside the direct approxision of a teacher during the day.	Formative			Summative
	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The number of students in the hallway during class will be dramatically reduced and provide more class time overall for students.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Principal over E-Hall Pass, Associate Principal over Student Services, Teachers				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Goal 3: We will promote a safe and civil environment for students, staff, and the community.

Performance Objective 2: We will ensure the emotional safety of students and staff.

Evaluation Data Sources: Gaggle alerts, anonymous tip lines, counseling visits

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: We will embed SEL curriculum weekly through check-ins, bell ringers, and exit tickets utilizing the Better		Formative		Summative
Together one-page documents provided by the district. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved academic success. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Associate Principal for Student Services, PLC leads, teachers	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: We will develop MTSS Tier 2, 504, and SpEd plans for Behavior/SEL needs.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Attendance and grades will improve Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Principal for MTSS, SpEd & 504 Coordinators		Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 3 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 3: We will utilize restorative and trauma-informed strategies for restorative conferences and discipline practices.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Attendance and grades will improve Staff Responsible for Monitoring: RHHS Administrators and RHHS Counselors	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 4: We will respond to the anonymous tip line as appropriate.		Formative		Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Associate Principal for Student Services	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 5: We will respond to Gaggle alerts as appropriate.	Formative Summativ		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students are supported Staff Responsible for Monitoring: RHHS Admin	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Goal 4: We will ensure compliance with the local wellness policy through implementation of the Rockwall ISD Wellness Plan with an emphasis on student and staff well-being.

Performance Objective 1: In order to improve the health of staff and students, at RHHS we will address the following four areas: 1) Nutrition Promotion, 2) Nutrition Education, 3) Physical Activity, and 4) Other school-based activities.

Evaluation Data Sources: Healthier students and staff that are self-reflective and self-motivated on personal health and wellness.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: We will encourage employee wellness by having at least one staff member assigned to the Rock Solid Wellness		Summative		
n and encouraging campus-wide participation in district and campus-specific wellness events, such as Wellness Inesdays.		Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Healthier staff that are self-reflective and self-motivated on personal health and wellness				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: RHHS Campus Wellness Team				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews		•	
Strategy 2: The campus will provide healthy recipes on a monthly basis.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Support for healthier habits	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: RHHS Campus Wellness Team				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	tinue		

Goal 4: We will ensure compliance with the local wellness policy through implementation of the Rockwall ISD Wellness Plan with an emphasis on student and staff well-being.

Performance Objective 2: The campus will provide direct support for the social emotional development of students through a dedicated MTSS process.

Evaluation Data Sources: Attendance and discipline records in Skyward

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Our campus will focus on referring students to the MTSS program who can benefit from early intervention;			Summative	
such as those with excessive tardies, absences or difficulty passing classes. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The number of students accessing tiered intervention will increase while the number of students with outcries have a corresponding decrease. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: AP for MTSS, Associate for Student Services, Teachers		Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: The campus will provide opportunities for our freshmen to learn about the dangers of e-cigarette useage and	Formative		Summativ	
alcohol consumption. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A reduction in the number of students assigned to DAEP due to e-cigarette or alcohol Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Freshmen Campus Principal, Associate for Student Services		Feb	Apr	June
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	tinue		

Addendums

9/24/23, 4:51 PM **TPRS**

Texas Education Agency 2021-22 Staff Information ROCKWALL-HEATH H S (199901004) - ROCKWALL ISD - ROCKWALL COUNTY

	Campus			
Staff Information	Count/Average	Percent	District	State
Total Staff	195.0	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Professional Staff:	180.8	92.7%	68.5%	64.1%
Teachers	157.7	80.9%	52.3%	49.3%
Professional Support	15.1	7.8%	12.4%	10.7%
Campus Administration (School Leadership)	8.0	4.1%	2.8%	2.9%
Educational Aides:	14.2	7.3%	8.6%	11.1%
Librarians and Counselors (Headcount):	'			
Full-time Librarians	1.0	n/a	19.0	4,194.0
Part-time Librarians	0.0	n/a	1.0	607.0
Full-time Counselors	7.0	n/a	40.0	13,550.0
Part-time Counselors	0.0	n/a	2.0	1,176.0
Total Minority Staff:	29.1	14.9%	20.0%	52.1%
Teachers by Ethnicity:				
African American	6.1	3.9%	3.8%	11.2%
Hispanic	8.8	5.6%	9.6%	28.9%
White	135.5	85.9%	83.4%	56.4%
American Indian	2.3	1.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Asian	2.0	1.3%	0.7%	1.9%
Pacific Islander	1.0	0.6%	0.1%	0.1%
Two or More Races	2.0	1.3%	1.7%	1.2%
Teachers by Sex:				
Males	66.2	42.0%	19.5%	24.1%
Females	91.5	58.0%	80.5%	75.9%
Teachers by Highest Degree Held:				
No Degree	2.6	1.6%	0.5%	1.4%
Bachelors	87.4	55.4%	66.2%	72.6%
Masters	64.2	40.7%	32.2%	25.2%
Doctorate	3.5	2.2%	1.1%	0.8%
Teachers by Years of Experience:				
Beginning Teachers	14.2	9.0%	4.2%	7.9%
1-5 Years Experience	26.8	17.0%	21.2%	26.7%
6-10 Years Experience	34.8	22.1%	21.2%	20.6%
11-20 Years Experience	62.1	39.4%	35.8%	28.6%
21-30 Years Experience	19.4	12.3%	16.2%	13.2%
Over 30 Years Experience	0.4	0.3%	1.5%	2.9%
Number of Students per Teacher	17.9	n/a	16.6	14.6

9/24/23, 4:51 PM TPRS

Staff Information	Campus	District	State			
Experience of Campus Leadership:						
Average Years Experience of Principals	11.0	6.6	6.3			
Average Years Experience of Principals with District	11.0	6.0	5.4			
Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals	5.5	5.5	5.5			
Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals with District	4.5	4.0	4.8			
Average Years Experience of Teachers:	11.5	12.5	11.1			
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District:	5.4	6.7	7.2			
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular duties only):						
Beginning Teachers	\$55,902	\$55,886	\$51,054			
1-5 Years Experience	\$56,001	\$55,431	\$54,577			
6-10 Years Experience	\$57,148	\$56,848	\$57,746			
11-20 Years Experience	\$59,372	\$59,077	\$61,377			
21-30 Years Experience	\$62,216	\$62,220	\$65,949			
Over 30 Years Experience	\$66,780	\$67,876	\$71,111			
Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):						
Teachers	\$58,367	\$58,333	\$58,887			
Professional Support	\$65,756	\$67,631	\$69,505			
Campus Administration (School Leadership)	\$90,793	\$82,850	\$84,990			
Instructional Staff Percent:	n/a	67.4%	64.9%			
Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above):	0.0	0.0	2,113.6			

	Car	npus			
Program Information	Count	Percent	District	State	
Teachers by Program (population served):					
Bilingual/ESL Education	0.0	0.0%	2.9%	6.2%	
Career and Technical Education	23.1	14.7%	5.0%	5.2%	
Compensatory Education	0.0	0.0%	1.9%	3.0%	
Gifted and Talented Education	0.0	0.0%	1.3%	1.7%	
Regular Education	120.6	76.4%	81.8%	70.8%	
Special Education	13.4	8.5%	6.1%	9.6%	
Other	0.6	0.4%	1.1%	3.5%	

⁻ Indicates there is no data for the item.

TEA | School Programs | Assessment and Reporting | Performance Reporting

^{*} Indicates results are masked due to small numbers.

n/a Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

[?] Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

9/24/23, 4:51 PM **TPRS**

Texas Education Agency 2021-22 Staff Information ROCKWALL-HEATH H S (199901004) - ROCKWALL ISD - ROCKWALL COUNTY

	Campus			
Staff Information	Count/Average	Percent	District	State
Total Staff	195.0	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Professional Staff:	180.8	92.7%	68.5%	64.1%
Teachers	157.7	80.9%	52.3%	49.3%
Professional Support	15.1	7.8%	12.4%	10.7%
Campus Administration (School Leadership)	8.0	4.1%	2.8%	2.9%
Educational Aides:	14.2	7.3%	8.6%	11.1%
Librarians and Counselors (Headcount):	'			
Full-time Librarians	1.0	n/a	19.0	4,194.0
Part-time Librarians	0.0	n/a	1.0	607.0
Full-time Counselors	7.0	n/a	40.0	13,550.0
Part-time Counselors	0.0	n/a	2.0	1,176.0
Total Minority Staff:	29.1	14.9%	20.0%	52.1%
Teachers by Ethnicity:				
African American	6.1	3.9%	3.8%	11.2%
Hispanic	8.8	5.6%	9.6%	28.9%
White	135.5	85.9%	83.4%	56.4%
American Indian	2.3	1.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Asian	2.0	1.3%	0.7%	1.9%
Pacific Islander	1.0	0.6%	0.1%	0.1%
Two or More Races	2.0	1.3%	1.7%	1.2%
Teachers by Sex:				
Males	66.2	42.0%	19.5%	24.1%
Females	91.5	58.0%	80.5%	75.9%
Teachers by Highest Degree Held:				
No Degree	2.6	1.6%	0.5%	1.4%
Bachelors	87.4	55.4%	66.2%	72.6%
Masters	64.2	40.7%	32.2%	25.2%
Doctorate	3.5	2.2%	1.1%	0.8%
Teachers by Years of Experience:				
Beginning Teachers	14.2	9.0%	4.2%	7.9%
1-5 Years Experience	26.8	17.0%	21.2%	26.7%
6-10 Years Experience	34.8	22.1%	21.2%	20.6%
11-20 Years Experience	62.1	39.4%	35.8%	28.6%
21-30 Years Experience	19.4	12.3%	16.2%	13.2%
Over 30 Years Experience	0.4	0.3%	1.5%	2.9%
Number of Students per Teacher	17.9	n/a	16.6	14.6

9/24/23, 4:51 PM TPRS

Staff Information	Campus	District	State
Experience of Campus Leadership:			
Average Years Experience of Principals	11.0	6.6	6.3
Average Years Experience of Principals with District	11.0	6.0	5.4
Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals	5.5	5.5	5.5
Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals with District	4.5	4.0	4.8
Average Years Experience of Teachers:	11.5	12.5	11.1
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District:	5.4	6.7	7.2
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular d	uties only	'):	
Beginning Teachers	\$55,902	\$55,886	\$51,054
1-5 Years Experience	\$56,001	\$55,431	\$54,577
6-10 Years Experience	\$57,148	\$56,848	\$57,746
11-20 Years Experience	\$59,372	\$59,077	\$61,377
21-30 Years Experience	\$62,216	\$62,220	\$65,949
Over 30 Years Experience	\$66,780	\$67,876	\$71,111
Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):			
Teachers	\$58,367	\$58,333	\$58,887
Professional Support	\$65,756	\$67,631	\$69,505
Campus Administration (School Leadership)	\$90,793	\$82,850	\$84,990
Instructional Staff Percent:	n/a	67.4%	64.9%
Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above):	0.0	0.0	2,113.6

	Car	npus		
Program Information	Count	Percent	District	State
Teachers by Program (populat	ion serv	ed):		
Bilingual/ESL Education	0.0	0.0%	2.9%	6.2%
Career and Technical Education	23.1	14.7%	5.0%	5.2%
Compensatory Education	0.0	0.0%	1.9%	3.0%
Gifted and Talented Education	0.0	0.0%	1.3%	1.7%
Regular Education	120.6	76.4%	81.8%	70.8%
Special Education	13.4	8.5%	6.1%	9.6%
Other	0.6	0.4%	1.1%	3.5%

⁻ Indicates there is no data for the item.

TEA | School Programs | Assessment and Reporting | Performance Reporting

^{*} Indicates results are masked due to small numbers.

n/a Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

[?] Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

9/24/23, 4:50 PM TPRS

Texas Education Agency 2021-22 Student Information ROCKWALL-HEATH H S (199901004) - ROCKWALL ISD - ROCKWALL COUNTY

		Mem	bership		Enrollment						
	Car	npus			Cai	mpus					
Student Information	Count	Percent	District	State	Count	Percent	District	State			
Total Students	2,823	100.0%	17,818	5,402,928	2,824	100.0%	17,926	5,427,370			
Students by Grade:											
Early Childhood Education	0	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.7%	0.4%			
Pre-Kindergarten	0	0.0%	2.4%	4.1%	0	0.0%	2.4%	4.1%			
Pre-Kindergarten: 3-year Old	0	0.0%	0.3%	0.6%	0	0.0%	0.3%	0.6%			
Pre-Kindergarten: 4-year Old	0	0.0%	2.1%	3.5%	0	0.0%	2.0%	3.5%			
Kindergarten	0	0.0%	6.6%	6.8%	0	0.0%	6.6%	6.8%			
Grade 1	0	0.0%	6.7%	7.1%	0	0.0%	6.6%	7.1%			
Grade 2	0	0.0%	7.1%	7.1%	0	0.0%	7.1%	7.1%			
Grade 3	0	0.0%	6.9%	7.1%	0	0.0%	6.9%	7.1%			
Grade 4	0	0.0%	7.2%	7.1%	0	0.0%	7.2%	7.1%			
Grade 5	0	0.0%	7.1%	7.2%	0	0.0%	7.1%	7.2%			
Grade 6	0	0.0%	7.8%	7.4%	0	0.0%	7.7%	7.4%			
Grade 7	0	0.0%	7.6%	7.7%	0	0.0%	7.5%	7.7%			
Grade 8	0	0.0%	7.9%	7.9%	0	0.0%	7.9%	7.8%			
Grade 9	787	27.9%	8.9%	8.8%	787	27.9%	8.9%	8.8%			
Grade 10	718	25.4%	8.1%	7.6%	718	25.4%	8.1%	7.5%			
Grade 11	679	24.1%	7.9%	7.2%	679	24.0%	7.9%	7.2%			
Grade 12	639	22.6%	7.6%	6.7%	640	22.7%	7.6%	6.7%			
Ethnic Distribution:											
African American	334	11.8%	10.5%	12.8%	334	11.8%	10.5%	12.8%			
Hispanic	754	26.7%	24.2%	52.8%	754	26.7%	24.2%	52.7%			
White	1,496	53.0%	56.9%	26.3%	1,497	53.0%	56.9%	26.3%			
American Indian	19	0.7%	0.6%	0.3%	19	0.7%	0.6%	0.3%			
Asian	87	3.1%	3.0%	4.8%	87	3.1%	3.0%	4.8%			
Pacific Islander	3	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	3	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%			
Two or More Races	130	4.6%	4.6%	2.9%	130	4.6%	4.6%	2.9%			
Sex:											
Female	1,348	47.8%	48.7%	48.9%	1,348	47.7%	48.6%	48.8%			
Male	1,475	52.2%	51.3%	51.1%	1,476	52.3%	51.4%	51.2%			
Economically Disadvantaged	783	27.7%	25.8%	60.7%	784	27.8%	25.9%	60.6%			
Non-Educationally Disadvantaged	2,040	72.3%	74.2%	39.3%	2,040	72.2%	74.1%	39.4%			
Section 504 Students	428	15.2%	12.3%	7.4%	428	15.2%	12.2%	7.4%			
EB Students/EL	214	7.6%	9.2%		214	7.6%	9.2%	21.7%			
Students w/ Disciplinary Placements (2020-21)	31	1.1%	0.4%	0.6%							
Students w/ Dyslexia	272	9.6%	9.6%		272	9.6%	9.6%	5.0%			
Foster Care	10	0.4%	0.5%		10	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%			

9/24/23, 4:50 PM **TPRS**

		Mem	bership		Enrollment						
	Cai	mpus			Ca	mpus					
Student Information	Count	Percent	District	State	Count	Percent	District	State			
Homeless	3	0.1%	0.1%	1.1%	3	0.1%	0.1%	1.1%			
Immigrant	15	0.5%	0.5%	2.0%	15	0.5%	0.5%	2.0%			
Migrant	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%			
Title I	3	0.1%	15.9%	64.3%	3	0.1%	16.0%	64.3%			
Military Connected	227	8.0%	7.3%	3.3%	227	8.0%	7.3%	3.3%			
At-Risk	580	20.5%	31.6%	53.5%	580	20.5%	31.4%	53.5%			
Students by Instructional Program:											
Bilingual/ESL Education	207	7.3%	9.0%	21.9%	207	7.3%	9.0%	21.8%			
Career and Technical Education	2,007	71.1%	23.1%	25.8%							
Career and Technical Education (9-12 grades only)	2,007	71.1%	70.9%	71.0%							
Gifted and Talented Education	238	8.4%	8.3%	8.0%	238	8.4%	8.2%	8.0%			
Special Education	252	8.9%	11.8%	11.6%	253	9.0%	12.1%	11.7%			
Students with Disabilities by Type of Primary Disability	/ :										
Total Students with Disabilities	252										
By Type of Primary Disability Students with Intellectual Disabilities	125	49.6%	39.9%	43.0%							
Students with Physical Disabilities	7	2.8%	24.5%	20.8%							
Students with Autism	30	11.9%	12.7%	14.7%							
Students with Behavioral Disabilities	90	35.7%	22.1%	20.0%							
Students with Non-Categorical Early Childhood	0	0.0%	0.8%	1.5%							
Mobility (2020-21):											
Total Mobile Students	267	9.6%	10.3%	13.6%							
By Ethnicity: African American	49	1.8%	1.7%	2.5%							
Hispanic	70	2.5%	2.5%	6.6%							
White	130	4.7%	5.4%	3.5%							
American Indian	1	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%							
Asian	8	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%							
Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%							
Two or More Races	9	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%							
Count and Percent of Special Ed Students who are Mobile	28	11.2%	12.8%	15.7%							
Count and Percent of EB Students/EL who are Mobile	26	12.6%	11.6%	12.1%							
Count and Percent of Econ Dis Students who are Mobile	90	13.0%	15.5%	15.0%							
Student Attrition (2020-21):											
Total Student Attrition	235	11.3%	17.3%	18.9%							

	Non-Specia	al Educatio	Special Education Rates											
Student Information	Campus	District	State	Campus	District	State								
Retention Rates by Grade:														
Kindergarten	-	1.7%	1.9%	-	7.9%	5.2%								
Grade 1	-	1.1%	2.9%	-	1.4%	4.2%								
Grade 2	-	0.1%	1.7%	-	0.0%	2.2%								
Grade 3	-	0.1%	1.0%	-	0.0%	1.0%								
Grade 4	-	0.0%	0.7%	-	1.4%	0.7%								

9/24/23, 4:50 PM TPRS

	Non-Specia	al Educatio	Special Education Rates					
Student Information	Campus	District	State	Campus	District	State		
Grade 5	-	0.1%	0.5%	-	0.5%	0.7%		
Grade 6	-	0.2%	0.6%	-	0.0%	0.6%		
Grade 7	-	0.3%	0.7%	-	0.7%	0.7%		
Grade 8	-	0.5%	0.6%	-	0.8%	0.8%		
Grade 9	6.1%	6.1%	10.5%	13.8%	15.7%	14.1%		

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject (Derived from teacher responsibility records):

Class Size Information	Campus	District	State
Elementary:			
Kindergarten	-	18.4	18.7
Grade 1	-	19.7	18.7
Grade 2	-	19.8	18.6
Grade 3	-	18.7	18.7
Grade 4	-	19.9	18.8
Grade 5	-	22.1	20.2
Grade 6	-	24.6	19.2
Secondary:			
English/Language Arts	21.3	20.8	16.3
Foreign Languages	22.8	23.2	18.4
Mathematics	20.8	21.2	17.5
Science	21.6	22.4	18.5
Social Studies	23.9	24.2	19.1

- Indicates there are no students in the group.
- * Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- ** When only one student disability group is masked, then the second smallest student disability group is masked regardless of size.
- ? Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

TEA | School Programs | Assessment and Reporting | Performance Reporting

9/24/23, 4:49 PM **TPRS**

Texas Education Agency 2021-22 STAAR Performance ROCKWALL-HEATH H S (199901004) - ROCKWALL ISD - ROCKWALL COUNTY

	School	04-4-	District	C	African	Uiau!	\A/IL !4	American	A a !	Pacific	or More	Special Ed	Special Ed	ously	ously	Econ	EB/EL (Current &
	Year	State	DISTRICT	Campus	American	•							,	Enrolled	Enrolled	Disagv	Monitored
Fad of Cour	.a. Faali				STAAR PE	тогтапсе	Rates	by Tested (srade,	Subject, a	and Pen	ormance	_evei				
End of Cour At	2022	65%	82%	79%	67%	74%	86%	*	91%	*	80%	24%	86%	82%	74%	66%	55%
Approaches Grade								=00/		*							
Level or Above	2021	67%	82%	79%	61%	71%	88%	73%	86%		1970	35%	81%	82%	73%	69%	59%
At Meets Grade Level or	2022	47%	67%	65%	46%	57%	75%	*	86%	*	39%	10%	79%	68%	59%	41%	32%
Above	2021	50%	69%	63%	46%	49%	74%	55%	57%	•	74%	20%	69%	67%	53%	45%	30%
At Masters Grade	2022	11%	19%	19%	7%	14%	25%	*	41%	*	1370	0%	14%	20%	17%	9%	2%
Level	2021	12%	18%	15%	6%	8%	21%	9%	21%	Î	12%	3%	13%	17%	9%	7%	2%
End of Cour	rse Engli	ish II											I				
At Approaches Grade	2022	72%	86%	83%	71%	78%	89%	75%	90%	*	94%	46%	85%	86%	77%	74%	64%
Level or Above	2021	71%	87%	85%	74%	80%	89%	63%	95%	-	94%	35%	77%	88%	77%	76%	68%
At Meets Grade	2022	55%	73%	68%	45%	57%	78%	50%	86%	*	83%	23%	62%	72%	59%	53%	34%
Level or Above	2021	57%	77%	74%	54%	67%	81%	38%	89%	-	74%	25%	69%	77%	66%	58%	45%
At Masters Grade	2022	9%	14%	11%	5%	7%	15%	0%	10%	*	070	1%	23%	12%	7%	4%	0%
Level	2021	11%	16%	16%	3%	12%	20%	13%	5%	-	26%	9%	23%	16%	15%	6%	0%
End of Cour										l .							
At Approaches Grade	2022	76%	92%	85%	75%	82%	89%	*	100%	*	90%	57%	80%	85%	84%	77%	80%
Level or Above	2021	73%	90%	79%	65%	76%	86%	86%	100%	*	79%	48%	83%	82%	74%	76%	70%
At Meets Grade	2022	43%	72%	48%	35%	42%	57%	*	80%	*	57%	18%	20%	51%	45%	38%	33%
Level or Above	2021	41%	64%	38%	21%	33%	49%	29%	50%	*	38%	20%	42%	42%	33%	31%	29%
At Masters Grade	2022	27%	50%	24%	14%	24%	27%	*	80%	*	27%	8%	20%	26%	21%	19%	19%
Level	2021	23%	40%	15%	3%	11%	20%	29%	33%	*	21%	7%	25%	16%	13%	11%	9%
End of Cour	rse Biolo	gy															
At Approaches	2022	83%	94%	93%	81%	89%	97%	67%	100%	-	97%	64%	94%	94%	88%	86%	78%
Grade Level or Above	2021	82%	96%	94%	83%	94%	97%	86%	100%	*	92%	57%	91%	97%	87%	87%	91%
At Meets Grade	2022	55%	77%	73%	55%	61%	82%	50%	90%	-	82%	24%	76%	76%	63%	51%	34%
Level or Above	2021	55%	80%	76%	51%	70%	84%	29%	87%	*	80%	32%	91%	78%	68%	57%	51%
At Masters Grade	2022	21%	39%	36%	12%	26%	45%	17%	76%	-	41%	8%	53%	39%	30%	20%	7%
Level	2021	22%	40%	39%	24%	29%	46%	29%	53%	*	40%	13%	73%	41%	32%	21%	12%
End of Cour	rse U.S.	History	/														
At Approaches	2022	89%	96%	95%	87%	95%	97%	100%	89%	-	94%	69%	92%	96%	91%	91%	88%
Grade Level or Above	2021	88%	96%	93%	81%	91%	97%	*	92%	*	100%	73%	89%	96%	84%	88%	80%
At Meets Grade	2022	68%	84%	81%	68%	80%	87%	100%	84%	-	84%	40%	67%	84%	73%	75%	63%
Level or Above	2021	69%	81%	77%	52%	65%	87%	*	84%	*	95%	42%	78%	81%	63%	61%	40%
At Masters Grade	2022	42%	59%	56%	35%	49%	65%	29%	63%	-	69%	19%	58%	59%	48%	42%	23%
Level	2021	43%	58%	52%	32%	43%	61%	*	56%	*	67%	21%	56%	57%	39%	37%	16%
SAT/ACT AI	I Subjec	ts															

	School Year	State	District	Campus	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed (Current)	Special Ed (Former)	Continu- ously Enrolled	ously	Econ Disady	EB/EL (Current & Monitored)
At Approaches	2022	92%	97%	96%	100%	96%	97%		100%	-	78%	-	-	97%	85%	89%	,
Grade Level or Above	2021	95%	98%	98%	92%	93%	99%	-	100%	*	100%	*	*	98%	100%	94%	:
At Meets Grade	2022	64%	76%	76%	64%	69%	79%	-	92%	-	67%	-	-	80%	35%	54%	1
Level or Above	2021	69%	83%	83%	77%	79%	84%	-	83%	*	100%	*	*	84%	67%	76%	,
At Masters Grade	2022	13%	11%	15%	14%	6%	18%	-	33%	-	0%	-	-	17%	0%	2%	1
Level	2021	14%	11%	10%	15%	7%	10%	-	17%	*	17%	*	*	10%	11%	0%	,
All Grades A	All Subje	ects															
At Approaches Grade	2022	74%	87%	87%	76%	83%	92%	78%	94%	*	90%	50%	89%	90%	82%	78%	71%
Level or Above	2021	67%	81%	87%	73%	82%	92%	74%	94%	78%	89%	49%	84%	90%	79%	79%	72%
At Meets Grade	2022	48%	65%	69%	50%	60%	78%	59%	87%	*	72%	21%	67%	73%	59%	51%	37%
Level or Above	2021	41%	57%	68%	46%	58%	78%	40%	79%	33%	73%	28%	69%	73%	56%	50%	38%
At Masters Grade	2022	23%	36%	28%	14%	22%	35%	11%	47%	*	29%	6%	36%	30%	22%	16%	9%
Level	2021	18%	28%	27%	14%	20%	33%	17%	34%	0%	30%	10%	35%	29%	20%	15%	7%
All Grades I	2022	75%	87%	81%	69%	76%	88%	64%	91%	*	87%	34%	85%	84%	75%	70%	59%
Approaches Grade Level or Above	2021	68%	80%	82%	67%	75%	88%	68%	91%	*	86%	35%	79%	85%	75%	72%	63%
At Meets Grade	2022	53%	68%	66%	46%	57%	77%	36%	86%	*	70%	16%	70%	70%	59%	47%	33%
Level or Above	2021	45%	58%	68%	50%	57%	78%	47%	76%	*	74%	22%	69%	72%	59%	51%	36%
At Masters Grade	2022	25%	35%	15%	6%	11%	20%	0%	26%	*	1170	1%	19%	16%	12%	6%	1%
Level	2021	18%	26%	15%	5%	9%	20%	11%	12%	,	18%	5%	17%	17%	12%	7%	1%
All Grades I	2022	72%	86%	88%	79%	85%	92%	*	100%	*	87%	57%	80%	90%	84%	80%	81%
Approaches Grade Level or	2021	66%	82%	85%	70%	79%	91%	86%	100%	*	83%	48%	85%	88%	76%	78%	70%
Above At Meets	2022	42%	61%	57%	39%	49%	65%	*	88%	*	59%	18%	20%	63%	44%	42%	33%
Grade Level or	2021	37%	55%	51%	30%	41%	63%	29%	67%	*	50%	21%	46%	58%	34%	35%	30%
Above At Masters	2022	20%	33%	21%	14%	20%	24%	*	47%	*	21%	8%	20%	23%	19%	15%	18%
Grade Level	2021	18%	28%	13%	5%	10%	16%	29%	25%	*	20%	6%	31%	14%	12%	10%	9%
All Grades	Science																
At Approaches	2022	76%	88%	93%	81%	89%	97%	67%	100%	-	97%	64%	94%	94%	88%	86%	78%
Grade Level or Above	2021	71%	85%	94%	83%	94%	97%	86%	100%	*	92%	57%	91%	97%	87%	87%	91%
At Meets Grade	2022	47%	66%	73%	55%	61%	82%	50%	90%	-	82%	24%	76%	76%	63%	51%	34%
Level or Above	2021	44%	61%	76%	51%	70%	84%	29%	87%	*	80%	32%	91%	78%	68%	57%	51%
At Masters Grade	2022	21%	36%	36%	12%	26%	45%	17%	76%	-	41%	8%	53%	39%	30%	20%	7%
Level	2021	20%	33%	39%	24%	29%	46%	29%	53%	*	40%	13%	73%	41%	32%	21%	12%
All Grades S			000/	059/	070/	0.50/	070/	1000/	900/		0.40/	600/	000/	060/	040/	040/	000/
At Approaches Grade	2022	75%	89%	95%	87%	95%	97%	100%	89%	*	94%	69%	92%	96%	91%	91%	88%
Level or Above	2021	73%	87%	93%	81%	91%	97%		92%	*	10070	73%	89%	96%	84%	88%	80%
At Meets Grade	2022	50%	69%	81%	68%	80%	87%	100%	84%	-	84%	40%	67%	84%	73%	75%	63%
Level or Above	2021	49%	67%	77%	52%	65%	87%	*	84%	*	95%	42%	78%	81%	63%	61%	40%

9/24/23, 4:49 PM TPRS

	School Year		District	Campus	African American	Hispanic		American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed (Current)	Ed	ously	ously	Econ Disadv	EB/EL (Current & Monitored)
At Masters Grade	2022	30%	47%	56%	35%	49%	65%	29%	63%	-	69%	19%	58%	59%	48%	42%	23%
Level	2021	29%	45%	52%	32%	43%	61%	*	56%	*	67%	21%	56%	57%	39%	37%	16%

^{*} Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

TEA | School Programs | Assessment and Reporting | Performance Reporting

⁻ Indicates there are no students in the group.